Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,780	LISS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LI B. ZHEN	2194	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>	
(1) Neil F. Maloney (Reg. No. 42,833).	(3)		
(2) <u>LI B. ZHEN</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 21 October 2009	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101			
Claims discussed: 1 and 9			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Li B. Zhen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if ε	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Method claims 1 and 9 recite method claims that are not tied to a particular machine or apparatus. Examiner suggested amending the claims to recite "determining, by a processor,..." in claim 1 and "monitoring, by a processor,..." in claim 9. Mr. Maloney authorized the amendment to the claims in an examiner's amendment.